2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 JOHN RACZ, NO. CV 12–5300 FMO	
4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10	
5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10	
6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10	
7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10	
9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10	
9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10	
10	
11 JOHN RACZ,) NO. CV 12–5300 FMO	
Petitioner,	
V. JUDGMENT	
14 WILLIAM KNIPP, Warden,	
15 Respondent.	
16	
17 IT IS ADJUDGED that the above-captioned action is dismissed without prejudice.	
18	
Dated this 31st day of August, 2012.	
20	
21	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27 28	